## **Community Transportation Program: Project Evaluation Criteria Survey**

This survey is a follow-up to the presentation of the conceptual framework of the Community Transportation Program that was presented to the MPO on October 18, 2018. In this survey, members are asked to rate the importance of various proposed project evaluation criteria. There is also an opportunity to enter written feedback. Details about each criterion can be found in an image adjacent to the relevant question, and in the Guide to the Community Transportation Project Evaluation Criteria document: <a href="https://goo.gl/VKb3Tx">https://goo.gl/VKb3Tx</a>. Please complete the survey by the close of business on Monday, November 5. You can contact Sandy Johnston, <a href="mailto:sjohnston@ctps.org">sjohnston@ctps.org</a>, with any questions.

Required		
1. Email address *		

## **Proposed Criteria Structure**

Staff presented an overall proposed structure for project evaluation together with the conceptual framework for the Community Transportation Program on October 18, 2018. The image below, which is a slide shown during that presentation, illustrates the structure as presented.



## Fatal Flaw Analyses

Fatal Flaw Analysis 1: Positive impact on air quality\*

-- Project must show a positive impact in the MPO's air quality analysis process

\*Would only apply as long as the Community Transportation Program continues to be funded with Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality funds; the MPO may choose to allocate other funds to this program.

Fatal Flaw Analysis 2: Proponent readiness and institutional capacity

-- Can the project proponent adequately carry out the project?

	y to start the project within the MPO's time frame?
2. Do you have any proposed Fatal F	comments on these law Analyses?
General Criter	ia
These proposed criteri Transportation Progran	a will be used to evaluate all projects considered for the Community n.
3. Network or conne	ectivity value *
	project enhance capacity and/or utility of existing facilities
	ind infrastructure?
<ul><li>Does the network?</li></ul>	project fill gaps in the transit, bicycle, or pedestrian
<ul> <li>Does the</li> </ul>	project facilitate trips that could not otherwise be made?
Mark only one ova	I.
	1 2 3 4 5
Least Important	Most Important
<ul><li>Does the entities to</li><li>Does the</li></ul>	project have multiple sponsors or proponents? project involve ongoing collaboration between multiple solve a transportation-related problem? collaboration involved in this project fill an existing gap in ortation network?
	1 2 3 4 5
Least Important	Most Important
<ul><li>Is the proj</li><li>Has the p MPO's Lo or anothe</li></ul>	ect included in the local comprehensive plan? roject been identified as a "need" through developing the ng-Range Transportation Plan (LRTP) Needs Assessment r regional plan? project conform to and support the goals stipulated in local hal plans?

	4	0	2	4	_		
	1	2	3	4	5		
Least Important						Most Important	
Alignment with N			munity	Transp	ortation	Program's goal o	of increasing u
	8				ps that	would not ot	herwise ha
been pos					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-:	
Does the (SOV) to	50,			ng trip	s from	single-occup	ancy venic
Mark only one ova		modes	5:				
wank only one ove	A1.						
	1	2	3	4	5		
Logot Important						Most Important	
Usage projection	will use	the infr	astructu	re or ser	vice prov	vided through this	project?
Usage projection	will use	the infra	astructu	re or ser	rvice prov	vided through this	project?
Usage projection How many people Mark only one ove	e will use al.					vided through this  Most Important	project?
Usage projection How many people Mark only one ove	e will use al.						project?
Usage projection How many people Mark only one ova  Least Important  Other Please list any oth the proposed type	e will use al.  1  ner critere-specific	2 ia that y	3 rou think below b	4 should	5 be consid		cts. Please revi
Usage projection How many people Mark only one ova  Least Important  Other Please list any oth the proposed type	e will use al.  1  ner critere-specific	2 ia that y	3 rou think below b	4 should	5 be consid	Most Important  dered for all projec	cts. Please revi
Usage projection How many people Mark only one ove Least Important  Other Please list any oth	e will use al.  1  ner critere-specific	2 ia that y	3 rou think below b	4 should	5 be consid	Most Important  dered for all projec	cts. Please revi
Usage projection How many people Mark only one ova  Least Important  Other Please list any oth	e will use al.  1  ner critere-specific	2 ia that y	3 rou think below b	4 should	5 be consid	Most Important  dered for all projec	cts. Please revi
Usage projection How many people Mark only one ova  Least Important  Other Please list any oth	e will use al.  1  ner critere-specific	2 ia that y	3 rou think below b	4 should	5 be consid	Most Important  dered for all projec	cts. Please revi
Usage projection How many people Mark only one ova  Least Important  Other Please list any oth the proposed type	e will use al.  1  ner critere-specific	2 ia that y	3 rou think below b	4 should	5 be consid	Most Important  dered for all projec	cts. Please rev

operating. In addition to the set of general criteria described above, which will be applied to all projects, staff propose to use type-specific criteria, depending on whether the project is requesting capital or operating funding. Each project would then be evaluated using the general criteria and EITHER capital or operating criteria. In addition to ranking the importance of each of these criteria, you will be given an opportunity to rate the relative importance of the general and type-specific

Does the project primarily serve a low-income, minority, or other

**Criteria Specific to Capital Projects** 

criteria.

6. Equity considerations or location in equity area \*

10. Safety benefits *
<ul> <li>Improves bicycle safety</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Improves pedestrian safety</li> </ul>
Mark only one oval.
1 2 3 4 5
Least Important Most Important
11. Cost-effectiveness over life cycle *
<ul> <li>Project will be cost-effective compared to alternatives</li> <li>Project will not excessively burden local maintenance budget after MPO funds construction</li> </ul>
Mark only one oval.
1 2 3 4 5
Least Important Most Important
<ul> <li>Project is not located in an area of environmenta concern</li> <li>Project will be resistant to damage from storms, floods, and so on</li> </ul>
Mark only one oval.
1 2 3 4 5
Least Important Most Important
13. Other  Do you have any other criteria that you think should be considered for capital projects, or comments on the sub-criteria (bullet points) used to define them? Let us know here.
Criteria Specific to Operating Projects

Mark only one ova	a <i>l.</i>					
	1	2	3	4	5	
east Important						Most Important
Service plan * Project includes de Mark only one ova		realistic	service	plan		
	1	2	3	4	5	
east Important						Most Important
<ul> <li>the MPO</li> <li>Performa and/or ma</li> </ul>	andate	onitor	ing pla			erformance and reporting
Performa and/or ma	andate	onitor ed me	ing pla	an inco	orpora	
Performa and/or ma	andate	onitor	ing pla			

• Project will be able to continue operating after end of MPO funding

14. Financial sustainability and realistic budget \*

These questions ask you to assess the relative importance of the general criteria and type-specific criteria in overall project evaluation. Please ensure that your answers add up to 100 percent.

18. What percentage of the overall project score should be assigned to the general criteria? *
Mark only one oval.
10%
20%
30%
40%
50%
60%
70%
80%
90%
100%
19. What percentage of the overall project score should be assigned to the type-specific criteria? *
Mark only one oval.
10%
20%
30%
40%
50%
60%
70%
80%
90%
100%
Further Questions
20. Do you have any further questions or comments?
Thank you!
Send me a copy of my responses.