# RAIL <sup>o</sup>VISION

NZNZNZNZNZNZ

X

# **RTAC** Meeting

OCTOBER 10, 2018







#### **Presentation Agenda**

- 1. Welcome
- 2. Evaluation Framework
- 3. Tier 1 Service Concepts (Presentation & Discussion)
- 4. Introduction to the Tier 1 Models
- 5. Public Comment







## **Evaluation Framework**





#### **Evaluation Process**







#### The Tier 1 Evaluation

- Begins with service concepts that do one or more of the following:
  - Reduce travel time
  - Increase service frequency
  - Improve system connectivity
- Concepts vary in terms of cost and complexity

We are here

- Tests each concept on each line for effectiveness, and identify challenges
- Evaluates "packages" of concepts on a system-wide basis to develop up to 8 service alternatives
- IMPORTANT: Not all service concepts will make sense for all lines







UP TO 8 SERVICE ALTERNATIVES

7

RAIL



#### 8 Service Alternatives

5)







#### Tier 1 Sketch-Level Models

- ATTUne scheduling model that will show what train operations are possible at a high level given certain investments
- Operating Cost Model calculates operating cost implications of transit investments
- Regional Dynamic Model (RDM) dynamic sketch model that calculates ridership estimates for different types of investments and addresses how transit investment affects land use

Tier 2 – will use traditional RTC model to evaluate operations, the CTPS model to evaluate ridership, and the RDM model to evaluate land use effects for the 8 service alternatives







# Tier 1 Service Concepts





# **Service Concept Idea** Express or Zonal Express

- Reduces Travel Time
- Increases Frequency

Zonal Express

**Express service** makes few if any stops between terminal points. Would be combined with local service.

**Zonal Express** provides local service from an outer to intermediate stop, and express service to the core. Other service begins at the intermediate stop and provides local service to the core.

**Tradeoffs Question:** Are the Express Stations in the right locations? Is reducing travel time from high ridership, outer stations desirable if it requires a transfer for those traveling to or from lower ridership stations?

Express station / transfer point







JUNCTION

DEPOT

South Coast Rall Phase 1







# Service Concepts Discussion

- Are any Big Ideas missing?
- How should we approach creating combinations of concepts?







# Modeling Tools







## ATTUne





#### Overview of ATTUne

What is it?

#### • A **tool** that checks operating schedules against planning rules

#### What information does it use? What are the outputs?

How will it help us in our evaluation?

- Inputs: rail infrastructure and schedules
- Outputs: assessment of the **operational feasibility** of various service concepts, along with key statistics – such as vehicle miles, travel time savings
- Assesses service concepts at a **conceptual level**, without the need to develop a detailed RTC model simulation





#### ATTUne Consists of Two Primary Elements



#### **Timetables**

	-7						
	Split Overtaken Trains	r to SouthSta	tion Includ	e Guessed Times	Actual Routein	Show Names	Conflicts All
			1	6	7	8	9
	Formed By						
	Signal ID			W00584	W00506	W00586	W00508
	Orig. Dep. Time			06.39	06.22	07.15	06.57
	Orig. Loc. Name			Framingham	Worcester	Framingham	Worcester
	Dest. Loc. Name			SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStation
	Timing Load			D	D	D	D
	Operating Characteristi	ics					
	TOC			MB	MB	MB	MB
	Day of Operation			SX	SX	5X	5X
	Changes			New	New	New	New
nputs:	To Form	4.5	1.				05.57
	Grafton	dep	1		05.2414		07.0014
Lines	diaton	den	3		06.34%		07.09%
Trainc	Westboro	arr	4		06.381/2		07.13%
Irains		dep	5		06.39		07.14
Dwall times	Southboro	arr	6		06.471/2		07.221/2
Dwentimes		dep	7		06.48		07.23
Pup times	Ashland	arr	8		06.511/2		07.261/2
Runtimes		dep	9		06.52		07.27
Arrival and	Framingham	arr	10		07.021/2		07.371/2
Annvaranu		dep	11	06.39	07.03	07.15	07.38
denarture	WestNatick	arr	12	06.431/2	07.071/2	07.191⁄2	07.421/2
departare		dep	13	06.44	07.08	07.20	07.43
times	Natick	arr	14	06.481/2	07/111/2	07.241/2	07/4615
unics	WalladauCausus	dep	15	06.49	07/1135	07.25	07/46%
	weilesieyoquare	den	17	06.53%	07/15	07.29%	07/50
	WelleslevHills	arr	18	06.57%	07/1716	07.331/5	07/5214
	**********	dep	19	06.58	07/1715	07.34	07/52%
	WellesleyFarms	arr	20	07.001/2	07/1915	07.361/2	07/5415
		dep	21	07.01	07/1915	07.37	07/54%



# Example Service Concept: Zonal Express along Worcester Line

- Alternating express zones between Worcester and West Natick, between West Natick and Back Bay
- Explores the following:
  - Reduced travel times
  - Increased frequency at Worcester Station





## Existing Timetable for Worcester Line Commuter Rail

massD

📮 WTT - Worcester to	SouthStat	ion													- 0 >
Split Overtaken Trains Sho	w Passes	Includ	e Guessed Times	Actual Routein	g Show Names	Conflicts All L	ocations Edit <u>B</u>	ank E <u>x</u> cel							
			6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Formed By															
Signal ID			W00584	W00506	W00586	W00508	W00588	W00510	W00590	W00512	W00514	W00516	W00518	W00520	W00592
Orig. Dep. Time			06.39	06.22	07.15	06.57	07.49	07.24	08.34	08.50	10.35	12.05	13.55	15.50	17.40
Orig. Loc. Name			Framingham	Worcester	Framingham	Worcester	Framingham	Worcester	Ashland	Worcester	Worcester	Worcester	Worcester	Worcester	Framingham
Dest. Loc. Name			SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStation
Timing Load			D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
Operating Characteristics															
TOC			MB	MB	MB	MB	MB	MB	MB	MB	MB	MB	MB	MB	MB
Day of Operation			5X	5X	5X	5X	5X	5X	5X	5X	5X	5X	5X	5X	5X
Changes			New	New	New	New	New	New	New	New	New	New	New	New	New
To Form	-														
Worcester	dep	1	•	06.22	-	06.57	-	07.24	-	08.50	10.35	12.05	13.55	15.50	-
Grafton	arr	2	-	06.341/2	-	07.091/2	-	07.361/2	-	09.021/2	10.471/2	12.171/2	14.071/2	16.021/2	-
	dep	3	-	06.35	-	07.10	-	07.37	-	09.03	10.48	12.18	14.08	16.03	-
Westboro	arr	4	-	06.381/2	-	07.131/2	-	07.401/2	-	09.061/2	10.511/2	12.211/5	14.111/2	16.061/2	-
Cauthhana	dep	5	-	06.39	-	07.14	-	07.41	-	09.07	10.52	12.22	14.12	16.07	-
Southboro	arr		-	06.47%	-	07.221/2	-	07.491/2	-	09.151/2	11.001/2	12.301/2	14.201/2	16.151/2	-
Achland	aep	6		05.48		07.23	-	07.50		09.16	11.01	12.31	14.21	16.16	-
Ashidhu	den	ů		06.51%	-	07.20%	-	07.53%	09.24	09.19%	11.04 %	12.34%	14.24 1/2	16.19%	-
Framingham	arr	10		07.0216	-	07.27	-	07.54	08.34	09.20	11.05	12.55	14.25	16.20	-
ramingnam	den	11	06.30	07.0292	07.15	07.3792	07.49	08.04%	08.44%	09.30%	11.15%	12.4572	14.35%	16.30 %2	17.40
WestNatick	arr	12	05.4316	07.03	07.1916	07.30	07.43	08.091/5	08.491/5	09.3516	11.2016	12.40	14.30	16 351/2	17.40
The second second	den	13	06.4372	07.08	07.20	07.43	07.54	08.10	08.50	09.36	11.20 /2	12.50 /2	14.4072	16.35 /2	17.45
Natick	arr	14	06.481/2	07/1115	07.24%	07/4515	07.581/2	08.14%	08.541/2	09.40%	11.25%	12.55%	14.45%	16.401/2	17.491/2
	dep	15	06.49	07/1115	07.25	07/4615	07.59	08.15	08.55	09.41	11.26	12.56	14.46	16.41	17.50
WellesleySquare	arr	16	06.531/2	07/15	07.291/2	07/50	08.031/2	08.191/2	08.591/2	09.451/2	11.291/2	12.591/2	14.491/2	16.441/2	17.531/2
	dep	17	06.54	07/15	07.30	07/50	08.04	08.20	09.00	09.46	11.30	13.00	14.50	16.45	17.54
WellesleyHills	arr	18	06.571/2	07/1715	07.331/2	07/521/2	08.071/2	08.231/2	09.031/2	09.491/2	11.331/2	13.031/2	14.531/2	16.481/2	17.571/2
	dep	19	06.58	07/1715	07.34	07/521/2	08.08	08.24	09.04	09.50	11.34	13.04	14.54	16.49	17.58
WellesleyFarms	arr	20	07.001/2	07/1915	07.361/2	07/541/2	08.101/2	08.261/2	09.061/2	09.521/2	11.361/2	13.061/2	14.561/2	16.511/2	18.001/2
	dep	21	07.01	07/1915	07.37	07/5415	08.11	08.27	09.07	09.53	11.37	13.07	14.57	16.52	18.01

23



#### Example: Zonal Express Worcester Line Timetable

WTT - Worcester to SouthStation – o ×															
Split Overtaken Trains Shov	v Passes	Include	e Guessed Times	Actual Routein	g Show Names	Conflicts All L	ocations Edit <u>B</u> a	ank E <u>x</u> cel				-	-	-	
			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
Formed By															
Signal ID			W05550	W05600	W05552	W05602	W05554	W05604	W05556	W05606	W05558	W05608	W05560	W05610	W05562
Drig. Dep. Time			05.00	05.20	05.30	05.50	06.00	06.20	06.30	06.50	07.00	07.20	07.30	07.50	08.00
Drig. Loc. Name			Worcester	Worcester	Worcester	Worcester	Worcester	Worcester	Worcester	Worcester	Worcester	Worcester	Worcester	Worcester	Worcester
Dest. Loc. Name			SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStation	SouthStatic
iming Load			D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
perating Characteristics															
OC			MB	MB	MB	MB	MB	MB	MB	MB	MB	MB	MB	MB	MB
Day of Operation			5X	SX	5X	SX	SX	5X	5X	SX	5X	SX	SX	5X	SX
Changes			New	New	New	New	New	New	New	New	New	New	New	New	New
io Form															
Norcester	dep	1	05.00	05.20	05.30	05.50	06.00	06.20	06.30	06.50	07.00	07.20	07.30	07.50	08.00
Grafton	arr	2	05.121/2		05.421/2		06.121/2		06.421/2		07.121/2		07.421/2		08.121/2
	dep	3	05.13		05.43		06.13		06.43		07.13		07.43		08.13
Vestboro	arr	4	05.161/2		05.461/2		06.161/2		06.461/2		07.161/2		07.461/2		08.161/2
	dep	5	05.17		05.47		06.17		06.47		07.17		07.47		08.17
Southboro	arr	6	05.251/2		05.551/2		06.251/2		06.551/2		07.251/2		07.551/2		08.251/2
	dep	7	05.26		05.56		06.26		06.56		07.26		07.56		08.26
Ashland	arr	8	05.291/2		05.591/2		06.291/2		06.591/2		07.291/2		07.591/2		08.291/2
	dep	9	05.30		06.00		06.30		07.00		07.30		08.00		08.30
ramingham	arr	10	05.401/2		06.101/2		06.40 <sup>1</sup> /2		07.101/2		07.40 <sup>1</sup> /2		08.101/2		08.40 <sup>1</sup> /2
	dep	11	05.41		06.11		06.41		07.11		07.41		08.11		08.41
VestNatick	arr	12	05.451/2	05.541/2	06.151/2	06.241/2	06.451/2	06.541/2	07.151/2	07.241/2	07.451/2	07.541/2	08.151/2	08.241/2	08.451/2
	dep	13	05.46	05.55	06.16	06.25	06.46	06.55	07.16	07.25	07.46	07.55	08.16	08.25	08.46
Natick	arr	14		05.591/2		06.291/2		06.591/2		07.291/2		07.591/2		08.291/2	
	dep	15		06.00		06.30		07.00		07.30		08.00		08.30	
VellesleySquare	arr	16		06.041/2		06.341/2		07.041/2		07.341/2		08.041/2		08.341/2	
	dep	17		06.05		06.35		07.05		07.35		08.05		08.35	
VellesleyHills	arr	18		06.09		06.39		07.09		07.39		08.09		08.39	
	dep	19		06.091/2		06.391/2		07.091/2		07.391/2		08.091/2		08.391/2	
WellesleyFarms	arr	20		06.111/2		06.411/2		07.111/2		07.411/2		08.111/2		08.411/2	
	dep	21		06.12		06.42		07.12		07.42		08.12		08.42	

With the Zonal Express service concept:

- All trains begin or end their trip in Worcester
- Service pattern shows alternating trains:
  - Local stops
    Worcester to West
    Natick, express to
    Boston <u>OR</u>
  - Express Worcester to
    West Natick, local
    stops to Boston





#### Infrastructure on Worcester Line (at Worcester Station)

ATTUne routes all trains through the rail corridor based on the proposed timetable, to identify areas of conflict or capacity limitations







## Existing Worcester Line String Line Chart



- String line charts show how trains interact – where are the meets, passes, and conflict points
- No conflicts shown in ATTUne for today's condition





### Example: Zonal Express Worcester Line String Line Chart



- Green dots show conflicts between trains
- ATTUne *identifies,* <u>but does not solve,</u>
   conflicts
- Up to reviewer to assess possible solutions:

   adjust timetables
  - add capacity through infrastructure





## ATTUne Identifies Conflicts on the System



ATTUne allows for a high level look at conflicts to identify the magnitude of investment needed to resolve

- Example: ATTUne shows express (orange) train passing local (blue) train between Natick and West Natick
- Conflict: this is not possible in the existing condition
- Solutions to be considered

   adjust timetables
  - add capacity





## ATTUne Output – Travel Time Savings

- ATTUne calculates the potential travel time benefits and penalties of a service concept accrued daily at stations and on the line
- Provides an early opportunity to weigh potential benefits against needs







#### ATTUne's Role in the Tier 1 Evaluation

- Tests service concepts for conflicts, time, and distance relatively quickly
- Allows testing of concepts first on individual lines, which can then be used for developing full alternatives
- Outputs from ATTUne feed into both the
  - Operating Cost Model (vehicle-miles)
  - RDM (travel times + frequency)







# Regional Dynamic Model (RDM)





### Overview of the RDM

What is it?

What information does it use? What are the outputs?

How it will help us in our evaluation

- A strategic simulation model focused on how transportation, land-use, population, and employment interact
- Inputs include existing demand by mode, existing transportation options, and anticipated future growth
- Typical outputs include:
  - Rail ridership and revenue
- Access to opportunities
- Passenger miles traveled Economic growth
- Understand effects on ridership, vehicle capacity constraints, and land use
- Relative success of different transportation scenarios
- Enables a relatively quick testing of service concepts





#### Core Inputs **Scenario Testing Baseline Model Development Rail Service** Alternatives Demographics Land Use **Base Year Base Year** Growth Future Conditions Conditions Conditions Transportation **Economics** Inputs Land Use Policies Legend Inputs from CTPS Inputs for Scenario Testing Inputs from CTPS, MAPC & others – RDM Model Outputs massDO RDM Internal Outputs



#### **Outputs Example**

#### **Current Condition**



• Employers in the analysis area have a pool of job seekers from whom they can recruit

#### With Transit Investment



- Transit investment reduces transportation costs and
  - o increases the number of available workers
  - increases the number of potential employers
- Job seekers in each analysis area have more opportunities within a reasonable commute time
- Employers are in greater competition for workforce



# Outputs Example: Ridership

#### **Projected Change in Public Transportation Trips**

	Current Condition	With Transit Investment	Difference (Absolute)	Difference (%)
District 1	6,400	6,700	300	4.7%
District 2	9,800	9,900	100	1.0%
District 3	11,300	12,700	1,400	12.4%
District 4	1,500	3,900	2,400	160.0%
Sub-Total	29,000	33,200	4,200	14.5%
Other Districts	102,900	102,900	-	-
Total	131,900	136,100	4,200	3.2%

• The RDM was also used to output statistics comparing projected public transportation ridership under different scenarios





# **Operating Costs Model**





## Overview of the Operating Costs Model

What is it?

Calculates the ongoing cost implications of service concepts

What information does it use? What are the outputs?

How it will help us in our evaluation

- Grounded in existing cost data from the MBTA commuter rail
- Outputs are projections of future operating costs, including incremental impacts of each service concept by cost element

- Will be used throughout the analysis (both in Tier 1 and Tier 2 evaluation processes)
- Allows us to test key risks related to costs, and the cost and revenue implications of different alternatives





#### Outputs of the Operating Costs Model

• Outputs include both detailed operating cost projections by year and summary graphics highlighting key impacts of scenarios

Baseline									
Cost Category		RY 2021	RY 2022	RY 2023					
Staff		\$190,027,975	\$193,334,836	\$195,239,068					
Vehicle operations		\$57,568,930	\$58,363,496	\$62,386,145					
General Administration		\$80,451,968	\$82,164,117	\$84,639,738					
Vehicle maintenance		\$90,376,925	\$91,759,991	\$92,101,022					
Non-vehicle maintenance		\$49,721,292	\$50,561,760	\$52,059,610					
Scenario 1 - Incremental									
Cost Category		RY 2021	RY 2022	RY 2023					
Staff		\$6,784,403	\$14,515,029	\$14,093,289					
Vehicle operations		\$2,223,519	\$4,235,381	\$4,218,243					
General Administration		\$3,793,907	\$7,341,158	\$7,434,428					
Vehicle maintenance		\$2,745,432	\$4,397,625	\$4,240,664					
Non-vehicle maintenance		\$1,889,686	\$2,979,003	\$3,236,971					



All values presented are dummy values, provided for demonstrative purposes only





# Using Operating Costs Model for Service Alternative Development

- Directly linked to outputs from ATTUne and the RDM
- Understand ongoing cost implications of service alternatives
- Test key risks related to costs and the cost and revenue implications of different alternatives





# Next Steps

- Evaluate line-by-line service concepts against:
  - Travel time savings
  - Ridership and land use benefits
  - Operational efficiencies
- Identify up to 8 draft service alternatives to carry into the Tier 2 evaluation
- Hold public meeting(s)

