

## **Destination 2040 Projects and Programs**

#### May 16, 2019

#### **Anne McGahan**

**Boston Region Metropolitan Planning Organization** 

### **Meeting Outcomes**

- 1. Policies and issues
- 2. Revised project summary sheet
- 3. Initial staff proposal
- 4. Alternate scenarios to inform discussion
- 5. MPO member discussion on project selection



#### **Discussion Items**

- 1. Continue funding projects in Charting Progress to 2040
- 2. Based on MPO consensus, apply 30 percent of funding in each five-year time band for major infrastructure projects
- Don't program a single project that requires more than
  30 percent of funding in a five-year time band
- 4. Flex highway funding to transit major infrastructure projects
- 5. Leave some major infrastructure funding unallocated
- 6. Consider illustrative projects



## **Project Summary Key**

- Dark Green—Project is in the current LRTP Charting Progress to 2040
- Light Green—Project is a priority to the municipality and action is being taken to advance the project
- Blue—No municipal feedback but MassDOT action is being taken to advance the project
- Red—No municipal feedback and no MassDOT action is being taken to advance the project
- Orange—Project does not have to be considered for the plan or was removed from the Universe list
- White—Bridge projects with municipal support



**Revisions to Summary of Major Infrastructure Project Spreadsheet** Changes based on feedback at prior meeting **1. Boston—Cypher Street Extension** 2. Boston—New Summer Street Connector 3. Newton—Improvements at Interchange 17 4. Woburn—I-93/I-95 Interchange 5. Woburn—Washington Street Bridge

**Replacement over I-95** 



## Staff Proposals: Major Infrastructure Projects



### **Common Themes in Each Scenario**

- First time band includes projects and programs in the draft 2020–24 Transportation Improvement Program
- Tried to maintain investment programs and funding goals established at May 2, 2019, MPO meeting
- Cost inflated by four percent per year to appropriate time band



## Scenario 1 Staff Proposal

- Continue to fund the four projects in *Charting Progress to 2040*
- Fund seven projects that were included in light green—municipal priority with action being taken to advance the project
- Fund one blue project with no municipal feedback but MassDOT action is being taken to advance the project



#### Scenario 2

#### Impact with Canton Interchange

- Continue to fund the four projects in *Charting Progress to 2040*
- Fund two projects included in light green— Western Avenue in Lynn and I-93/I-95 interchange in Canton
- Results in deficit of \$110 million in major infrastructure program
- Reduce Complete Streets funding



#### **Scenario 3**

## Impact with Multiple Interchanges

- Fund two of the four projects in *Charting Progress to 2040*
- Fund I-93/I-95 interchange in Canton with three smaller interchanges
- Fund Western Avenue in Lynn
- Fund Saugus Interchange with no municipal feedback but MassDOT action is being taken to advance the project



# Scenario 4 Projects in the Current LRTP

- Continue to fund four projects in *Charting Progress to 2040*
- Fund one project included in light green— Western Avenue in Lynn
- Results in the majority of FFYs 2035–40 time band being unallocated



# Discussion on Staff Proposal and Alternative Scenarios



**Questions?**