

Objectives	Existing Criteria	Existing Subcriteria/Scoring	New Scoring - All Investment Programs	Key Changes
ECONOMIC VITALITY: Ensure our transportation network provides a strong foundation for economic vitality.				
Respond to mobility needs of the workforce population Minimize the burden of housing and transportation costs for residents in the region	Serves targeted development site (up to 6 points)	2 Provides new transit access to or within site 1 Improves transit access to or within a site 1 Provides for bicycle access to or within a site 1 Provides for pedestrian access to or within a site 1 Provides for improved road access to or within a site	2 Provides new transit access to or within site 1 Improves existing transit access to or within a site 2 Provides new bicycle access to or within a site 1 Improves existing bicycle access to or within a site 2 Provides new pedestrian access to or within a site 1 Improves existing pedestrian access to or within a site 1 Improves road access to or within a site	Proposal for MPO consideration: Clarify language and establish uniform point values around "new" vs. "existing" access. Proposal for MPO consideration: Remove Regionally Significant Priority Development Areas from analysis; Add Opportunity Zones and MBTA Priority Places to analysis
Prioritize transportation investments that serve residential, commercial, and logistics targeted development sites and "Priority Places" identified in MBTA's <i>Focus 40</i> plan Prioritize transportation investments that support development consistent with the compact growth strategies of the regional transportation plan	Provides for development consistent with the compact growth strategies of MetroFuture (up to 5 points)	2 Mostly serves an existing area of concentrated development 1 Partly serves an existing area of concentrated development 1 Supports local zoning or other regulations that are supportive of smart growth development 2 Complements other local financial or regulatory support that fosters economic revitalization in a manner consistent with smart growth development principles	5 Mostly serves an existing area of concentrated development 2 Partly serves an existing area of concentrated development 0 Does not serve an area of concentrated development N/A (Remove from criteria) N/A (Remove from criteria)	Proposal for MPO consideration: Remove points for regulatory measures to simplify criterion and focus scoring on central goal of increasing access to densely developed areas.
	Provides multimodal access to an activity center (up to 4 points)	1 Provides transit access (within a quarter mile) to an activity center 1 Provides truck access to an activity center 1 Provides bicycle access to an activity center 1 Provides pedestrian access to an activity center 0 Does not provide multimodal access	N/A (Remove from criteria)	Proposal for MPO consideration: Remove this criterion to reduce redundancy with Capacity Management and Mobility goal area.
	Leverages other investments (non-TIP funding) (up to 3 points)	3 Meets or addresses criteria to a high degree (>30% of the project cost) 2 Meets or addresses criteria to a medium degree (10-30% of the project cost) 1 Meets or addresses criteria to a low degree (<10% of the project cost) 0 Does not meet or address criteria	3 Meets or addresses criteria to a high degree (>30% of the project cost) 2 Meets or addresses criteria to a medium degree (10-30% of the project cost) 1 Meets or addresses criteria to a low degree (<10% of the project cost) 0 Does not meet or address criteria -- 2 Project proponent supports design process through pilot project OR robust community outreach process	Proposal for MPO consideration: Revise to award bonus points if proponent has supported the project through a pilot/demonstration prior to seeking funding.
	N/A (new criterion)		Promotes Access to Affordable Housing Opportunities: Percent of housing units within the project area that count toward the municipality's 40B low-income housing requirements. 4 11.2% or more of housing units are affordable 3 8.4-11.1% of housing units are affordable 2 5.6-8.3% of housing units are affordable 1 1-5.5% of housing units are affordable 0 Less than 1% of housing units are affordable	Proposal for MPO consideration: Add this criterion in lieu of using an Equity scoring overlay for this goal area.