

BOSTON REGION METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

Richard A. Davey, MassDOT Secretary and CEO and MPO Chairman Karl H. Quackenbush, Executive Director, MPO Staff

MEMORANDUM

- DATE November 15, 2012
- TO Town of Swampscott
- FROM Seth Asante and Efi Pagitsas MPO Staff
- RE FFY 2012 Community Transportation Technical Assistance Program: Humphrey Street, Burrill Street, and Monument Avenue Intersection in Swampscott

Introduction

This memorandum summarizes operations analyses and improvement strategies at the intersection of Humphrey Street, Burrill Street, and Monument Avenue to improve traffic safety and circulation and reduce delays and queuing. The intersection is presently owned and maintained by the Town of Swampscott. The memo contains the following sections:

- Layout of Study Intersections and Traffic Control
- Issues and Concerns
- Existing Conditions Analysis
- Proposed Improvements
- Recommendations and Discussion

The memorandum also includes technical appendices that contain detailed reports of the intersection capacity analyses.

Layout Of Study Intersections And Traffic Control

Figure 1 shows the study area, land use, and the two intersections that were analyzed in this study:

- Humphrey Street, Burrill Street, and Monument Avenue intersection
- Humphrey Street and Redington Street intersection (also owned and maintained by the Town of Swampscott)

Both intersections are located in the downtown area of Swampscott. The problem intersection is Humphrey Street, Burrill Street, and Monument Avenue. Although the Humphrey Street and Redington Street intersection is not problematic, it was included in the analysis because of its close proximity to the problem intersection. The land uses in the vicinity of the two intersections are retail stores, restaurants, residential,

recreational, educational, and medical offices. The Swampscott Town Hall, Linscott Park, King's Beach, Hadley Elementary School, and churches are also located nearby.

Humphrey Street, Burrill Street, and Monument Avenue Intersection

This is a complex unsignalized intersection under the jurisdiction of the Town of Swampscott. Humphrey Street (Route 129) is a major roadway connecting Swampscott to Marblehead to the north and Lynn to the south. It is classified as an urban principal arterial and carries about 20,000 vehicles per weekday. During each of the peak hours (AM and PM), it carries about 1,000 vehicles in the peak travel direction. Burrill Street is classified as an urban collector and runs generally in the east-west direction, providing a direct connection to the Swampscott's MBTA commuter rail station. Monument Avenue runs in the north-south direction and it connects to many residential streets north of the intersection. Monument Avenue is a boulevard-type street, with one-way traffic on each side of a green-space median. Monument Avenue is the primary entry into the Olmsted National Historic District.

Humphrey Street, Burrill Street, and Monument Avenue are all two-lane, two-way streets with speed limits posted as 25 miles per hour. All three streets are owned and maintained by the Town of Swampscott. Traffic on Humphrey Street is not controlled, but traffic on Burrill Street and Monument Avenue is controlled by stop signs. All three streets have sidewalks on both sides in the vicinity of the intersection, and they are in good condition. On-street parking is allowed in the vicinity of the intersection on Humphrey Street and Burrill Street, but not on Monument Avenue. Marked or painted crosswalks are installed at the intersection, many of which are visible but a couple of which are fading. Wheelchair ramps and curb cuts for connecting the sidewalks to the crosswalks are provided; however, some are not well aligned or even with the road surface.

Humphrey Street and Redington Street

The intersection of Humphrey Street and Redington Street is located approximately 200 feet east of the problem intersection and is under the jurisdiction of the Town of Swampscott. It is controlled by a semi-actuated, demand-responsive traffic signal, which returns the green phase back to Humphrey Street when there is no service request on Redington Street. The signal was installed because of the Hadley Elementary School, which is located at 24 Redington Street, close to the intersection. There are sidewalks on both sides of Humphrey Street and Redington Street in the vicinity of the intersection, and they are in good condition. The crosswalks at the intersection are well marked and visible. There are wheelchair ramps connecting the sidewalks to the crosswalks, but they are not well aligned and leveled.

Issues and Concerns

Staff met with the Swampscott town planner and the public works director to discuss the traffic and safety concerns, which are shown in Figure 2. The primary concern is poor traffic circulation at the problem intersection due to the alignment and configuration of the intersecting streets. High-volume traffic during rush hour on Humphrey Street makes it difficult for vehicles attempting to enter Humphrey Street from Burrill Street and Monument Avenue, as well as for eastbound traffic on Humphrey Street attempting to turn left onto Monument Avenue. There are traffic backups on Humphrey Street in both directions during peak hours—a situation which leaves few gaps for traffic on the side streets to enter Humphrey Street and, as a result, forces motorists on Monument Avenue and Burrill Street to make unsafe maneuvers.

Motorists were often observed looking confused, making illegal turns, or going the wrong way due to the present intersection configuration, which also creates many conflict points. In addition, the intersection is not pedestrian friendly because of conflicts between pedestrians and vehicles, wheelchair ramps that are not properly aligned or even with road surface, and a lack of curb cuts at some locations. The Town of Swampscott is looking for short-term solutions such restriping, new signs, and changes to the existing traffic circulation pattern, as well as long-term improvements, to alleviate the problems.

Existing Conditions Analyses

Crash Data Analysis

Staff analyzed crash data from the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) Registry of Motor Vehicles Division for the most recent four years available (2006–09). Tables 1 and 2 present the characteristics of the crashes at the two intersections that were studied.

- At the problem intersection, there were 24 crashes during the four-year period. They resulted in five injury crashes and 14 property-damage-only crashes; the crash severity of five of the crashes was not known. Angle collisions were the predominant crash type. Two of the crashes involved pedestrians. Four of the crashes occurred during peak periods.
- Using the PM-peak-hour traffic volumes at the intersection, the crash rate was calculated as 0.77 crashes per million entering vehicles (MEV), which exceeds the Highway Division's District 4 average crash rate of 0.59 MEV for unsignalized intersections. The high number of crashes and high crash rate indicate that the problem intersection indeed has a safety problem.
- At the Humphrey Street and Redington Street intersection, there were 16 crashes during the same period. They resulted in six injury crashes and nine property-damage-only crashes; the crash severity of one of the crashes was not known.

Rear-end collisions were the predominant crash type. Two of the crashes involved bicyclists and one a pedestrian. Five of the crashes occurred during peak periods.

Using the PM-peak-hour traffic volumes at the intersection, the crash rate was calculated as 0.52 crashes per million entering vehicles (MEV), which is less than the Highway Division's District 4 average crash rate of 0.78 MEV for signalized intersections.

Overall, there were three crashes that involved pedestrians and two that involved bicyclists during the four-year period. There are no pedestrian and bicycle crash rates developed for comparing crashes at intersections, therefore MPO staff could not determine based on the number of pedestrian and bicycle crashes and traffic volumes if this intersection is problematic or not.

Turning-Movement Counts and Traffic Patterns

MPO staff collected turning-movement counts at the study intersections on March 20-21, 2012. The data were recorded in 15-minute intervals for the peak periods: 7:00–9:00 AM and 4:00–6:00 PM. The peak-hour turning-movement volumes and pedestrian crossings are shown in Figure 1. About 1,600 vehicles travel on Humphrey Street during each peak hour (AM and PM), with 1,000 vehicles in the peak travel direction (westbound in the AM and eastbound in the PM). Around 80 percent of the traffic on Humphrey Street continues through the study intersections, while the remaining 20 percent turns onto Monument Avenue, Burrill Street, or Redington Street. The majority of the traffic on side streets also heads to Humphrey Street.

There was moderate pedestrian activity at the two intersections, and school crossing guards assist pedestrians in crossing the street at these intersections. There were nine bicyclists and 126 pedestrians who entered the problem intersection during the four-hour counting period (two hours in the morning and two in the afternoon). At the Humphrey Street and Redington Street intersection, five bicyclists and 66 pedestrians entered the intersection during the same period.

Intersection Capacity Analysis

Based on the turning-movement counts, lane configurations, and signal timing and phasing information (obtained from the MassDOT Highway Division), intersection capacity analyses were conducted by using an intersection capacity analysis program, Synchro.¹ The performance of an intersection is calculated using the methodology

¹ Synchro Version 7 was used for these analyses. This software is developed and distributed by Trafficware Ltd. It can perform capacity analysis and traffic simulation (when combined with SimTraffic) for an individual intersection or a series of intersections.

defined in the 2010 Highway Capacity Manual.² The key performance measures, which are obtained through analysis, are levels of service (LOS), vehicle delay, and queue length. LOS is the term used to describe the quality of traffic flow on a roadway facility at a particular time. Operating levels of service are reported on a scale of A to F, with LOS A representing free-flow or uncongested conditions with little delay to motorists, and LOS F representing congested conditions with long delays and traffic demands exceeding roadway or intersection capacity. Table 3 shows the operating LOS for the intersections, which is a function of vehicle delay. Tables 4 and 5 shows the AM and PM peak-hour analyses, respectively, for the existing conditions. The analysis indicates that traffic on Burrill Street and Monument Avenue experiences unacceptable delay and queuing when passing through the intersection during peak periods.

Proposed Improvements

Short-Term Improvement

As a short-term measure to address the problems that was examined by MPO staff is the possibility of modifying the existing intersection configuration, traffic circulation, and signage at the problem intersection to reduce the number of conflicts and improve sight lines and safety. Figure 3 shows the short-term improvements, which target the traffic on Burrill Street and Monument Avenue that is proceeding to Humphrey Street eastbound. These drivers would have to twist their necks in order to see vehicles on Humphrey Street heading eastbound and yield to them because of the alignment of Burrill Street at Humphrey Street.

The short-term improvements would include routing traffic to Humphrey Street via the opening just south of the approaches of Monument Avenue and Burrill Street. This opening intersects Humphrey Street perpendicularly and has a good sight line of traffic in both directions on Humphrey Street. The modifications comprise the following:

- Installation of a raised traffic island, as shown in Figure 3, and striping to create a one-way street to channel traffic into the appropriate lane on Burrill Street
- Installation of R5-1 (Do Not Enter) signs, R6-1L (One Way) signs, and pavement markings
 Installation of R1-1 (stop) signs and a left-arrow marking at the R6-1L
- Installation of R1-1 (stop) signs and a left-arrow marking at the Burrill Street approach to alert motorists where to turn onto Monument Avenue
- Installation of R10-7 (Do Not Block Intersection) sign and W11-2 (yield to pedestrians) signs with supplemental plaque W16-7P





R5-1

R10-7

DO NO

ENTER

do No

BLOCK

• Repair of wheelchair ramps to make them level with the surface of the roadway and aligned with crosswalks

Analysis indicates that this short-term option would increase delay for traffic on both Burrill Street and Monument Avenue during peak periods, as the majority of the traffic on both streets would be routed to the short opening south of both streets (Tables 4 and 5). With the short-term improvements, traffic on Burrill Street and Monument Avenue would still operate at an unacceptable level of service, "F." In addition, because of its length, the opening would not be able to store more than three vehicles and could result in a traffic queue blocking the intersection. The short-term improvement calls for installing "do not block intersection" signs at Burrill Street and Monument Avenue approaches. This would allow the intersection to operate effectively by allowing westbound traffic on Humphrey Street heading to Burrill Street and Monument Avenue to flow smoothly.

In addition, the short-term option would require relocation of the inbound MBTA bus stop and shelter about 40 feet (two parking spaces) further east, toward Redington Street, due to the proposed traffic island and one-way street. Relocation of the MBTA bus stop should not result in any loss of parking, because the space created as a result of moving the MBTA bus stop could be converted back into parking spaces as a replacement.

On the other hand, the short-term improvements would provide a much safer intersection, as traffic would be streamlined through the intersection and the sight line for Burrill Street and Monument Avenue traffic entering Humphrey Street would be much improved. The short-term modifications are a low-cost solution and would cost approximately \$10,000 to construct.

Long-Term Improvement

Because of the modest benefits from the short-term improvements, MPO staff developed two long-term improvements to address traffic concerns at the problem intersection. The long-term improvements would provide the most benefits for improving safety and alleviating traffic delay and queuing at the problem intersection, but they are expensive and would need community approval. The options consist of replacing the existing intersection with a modern roundabout or a traffic signal.

Option 1: Roundabout

The design features of modern roundabouts encourage slower speeds, making them proven strategies to reduce severe-injury crashes. In addition, the yield-on-entry rule and one-way circulating flow of roundabouts reduce the number of conflict points and cut down on angle crashes significantly. As a result of the safety and operational

benefits of modern roundabouts, the appeal of roundabouts throughout the Commonwealth has risen in the last decade.³

Figure 4 shows the sketch of a proposed roundabout and how it would streamline traffic circulation at the problem intersection. The roundabout proposal includes the following:

- Constructing a modern roundabout with a 120-foot inscribed circle diameter, 70foot central-island diameter to provide for a 16-foot circulatory roadway, and a 9foot mountable apron for use by trucks and emergency vehicles
- Realigning the approach of Monument Avenue to make it compact in order to reduce speeds
- Relocating the monument and flag pole on the existing triangular traffic island to the central island of the roundabout
- Possibly removing the traffic signal at Humphrey Street and Redington Street or installing queue detectors to prevent queues from spilling into the roundabout
- Installing roundabout signs and pavement markings for informing and directing traffic through the roundabout, such as R6-5P (roundabout circulation plaque), R6-4 (roundabout directional arrow, two-chevron roundabout sign), R1-2 (yield), and W11-2 (yield to pedestrian) sign

Two alternatives were evaluated in the roundabout option: a single-lane roundabout with no slip lanes, and a single-lane roundabout with an eastbound slip lane on Humphrey Street.

A single-lane roundabout with no slip lanes

Analysis indicates that a roundabout option would reduce delay on the side streets but increase delay for traffic on Humphrey Street during the AM and PM peak periods (Tables 4 and 5). The increase in delay results from the fact that traffic on Humphrey Street at present is free-flowing, or uncontrolled. With a roundabout, traffic on Humphrey Street would be interrupted by traffic on Monument Avenue and Burrill Street. During the AM and PM peak periods, analysis shows that traffic in the peak direction of travel on Humphrey Street (westbound during the AM peak period and eastbound during the PM peak period) would operate at unacceptable levels of service (LOS F). Tables 4 and 5 show the results of the intersection capacity analysis. The high volume of entering and conflicting (circulating) traffic at the Humphrey Street approaches during the peak periods is the primary reason for the failures.

Guidelines in the National Cooperative Highway and Research Program (NCHRP) Report 672⁴ suggest that when the volume threshold for the sum of the entering and

³ In this document, the word "roundabout" refers to modern roundabouts, which have a smaller central island and a tighter deflection angle than older designs to slow down vehicles entering the roundabout and vehicles in the circulatory lane(s) to speeds between 20 and 25 mph.

conflicting volumes at an approach is in the range of 1,000 to 1,300 vehicles per hour, a two-lane entry may have to be considered, and evaluated, for that approach. For the proposed single-lane roundabout, the sum of the entering and conflicting peak-period traffic volumes at the Humphrey Street approaches is in the range of 1,150–1,200 vehicles per hour. These volumes call for slip lanes or double entry lanes on Humphrey Street.

A single-lane roundabout with a slip lane on eastbound Humphrey Street

Because the Humphrey Street approaches were failing during the peak-hour, the operations of the single-lane roundabout were enhanced by adding a right-turn slip lane on the eastbound approach of Humphrey Street. The slip lane treats straight-through traffic eastbound on Humphrey Street as free right-turns, and allows the roundabout to operate satisfactorily during the AM and PM peak periods (Tables 4 and 5). The shortcoming of the slip-lane alternative is that it would take away about 12 on-street parking spaces.

In addition, if this location is reconstructed as a roundabout, the traffic signal at the next intersection could be removed. Southbound left turns out of Redington Street would be prohibited. Instead, all southbound traffic would turn right and proceed though the rotary, where the left turns would reverse direction to travel eastbound.

One major handicap of the roundabout option is that would be located in an area with National Historic District designation that has guidelines intended to promote responsible preservation practices that help protect cultural and historic resources. The roundabout option would need to be sensitive to the area's historic status and visual and architectural significance and would need to satisfy all applicable state and federal historic preservation laws. It would need to be designed with input from the Massachusetts Historical Commission, Swampscott Historical Commission, and Historic District Study Committee to ensure that historic issues are identified. Therefore, MPO staff recommend further review of this option to determine its feasibility. An estimate of the construction cost for each of the proposed roundabout is in the range of \$1–1.5 million, and it may require Boston Region MPO programming and funding.

Option 2: Traffic Signals

Option 2 would convert the existing unsignalized intersection into a traffic signalized intersection whose signal would be coordinated it with the signal at Humphrey Street and Redington Street. Presently this intersection meets traffic signal Warrant 2 (Four-Hour Volume) and Warrant 3 (Peak-Hour) of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control

⁴ Transportation Research Board, NCHRP Report 672, Roundabouts: Informational Guide, Second Edition, Washington, D.C., 2010

Devices (MUTCD).⁵ Option 2 would result in two closely spaced traffic signals on Humphrey Street. The objectives of installing a traffic signal would be to be able to preserve the triangular island and its monument in the center of the intersection and to maintain the existing traffic circulation and on-street parking, while providing positive green times for each approach at the intersection to move its traffic safely and reduce conflicts.

Figure 5 is a sketch of the proposed traffic signal and how it would streamline traffic circulation at the problem intersection. The improvements comprise the following modifications:

- Installation of a new accessible traffic signal and equipment (such as controller, post-mounted and/or mast-arm with signal heads, detectors, pedestrian push buttons, and ADA-compliant crosswalks)
- Construction of a small triangular traffic island to channel traffic onto Burrill Street
- Installation of signs to direct pedestrians, bicyclists, and motorists through the intersection safely

Two alternatives were evaluated in the traffic signal option:

A traffic signal with one lane at each approach of the intersection (as in the existing conditions)

Analysis indicates that this alternative would increase delay at the intersection during the AM and PM peak periods (Tables 4 and 5). The increase in delay results from the split signal phases required for safe operations due to the geometry of the intersection and the absence of turn lanes. Analysis indicates that during peak hours, traffic would operate at unacceptable levels of service (E or F) at all approaches to the intersection.

A turn lane on the eastbound Humphrey Street approach

This alternative was evaluated to determine if adding a turn lane would significantly increase capacity and reduce delays at the intersection. Analysis indicates that the alternative with a turn lane on the Humphrey Street eastbound approach would improve traffic operations at the intersection. The only approach that would fail is Humphrey Street westbound during the AM peak period, due to the high volume of traffic in the peak direction of travel. As in the roundabout alternative with a slip lane, about 12 parking spaces would be taken on the eastbound approach of Humphrey Street to achieve this improvement.

An estimate of the construction cost for each of the traffic signal alternative is estimated to be approximately \$1 million, and it may require Boston Region MPO programming and funding.

⁵ U.S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices for State Streets and Highways, 2009 Edition.

November 15, 2012

Recommendations and Discussion

Based on the evaluation of the existing conditions and problems, it has been determined that interrupting traffic on Humphrey Street would result in reduced delays and queues in the intersection. The short-term option would not reduce delays and queues at the intersection, because the improvements would not interrupt traffic on Humphrey Street. The long-term options would reduce delays for traffic on Monument Avenue and Burrill Street and improve capacity of the intersection in some ways. The common factor in all the long-term options is interrupting traffic on Humphrey Street to allow the traffic on Monument Avenue and Burrill Street and Burrill Street.

Option 1, the construction of a roundabout, would reduce delay at the problem intersection by, changing the existing traffic circulation pattern and interrupting traffic on Humphrey Street to allow traffic on the side streets to more easily enter the intersection. The roundabout alternatives would require converting the triangular island at the problem intersection into a central island and relocating the monument. The roundabout alternative with a slip lane on the eastbound approach of Humphrey Street would perform better, but it would take away on-street parking spaces. The roundabout option is also pedestrian friendly, as pedestrians would have the opportunity to cross at all approaches, would have shorter crosswalks, would have refuge areas for waiting to cross when it is safe, and would need to check for only one direction of traffic flow at a time. Two major issues with the roundabout option are that it would alter the traffic circulation pattern and it would also alter the appearance of an intersection that is located in a National Historic District. Staff suggest an additional review of this option to determine if it is feasible.

Option 2, the installation of a traffic signal, would not change the existing traffic circulation pattern or necessitate the conversion of the triangular island to a roundabout, but would create two closely spaced signals (about 200 feet apart) that might contribute to queuing. The traffic signal alternative with a turn lane on the eastbound approach of Humphrey Street would perform better but would also remove on-street parking spaces.

SA/sa

APPENDIX

Tables

- Table 1: Summary of MassDOT Crash Data (2006–09): Humphrey Street, Burrill Street, and Monument Avenue
- Table 2: Summary of MassDOT Crash Data (2006–09): Humphrey Street and Redington Street
- Table 3: Intersection Level-of-Service Criteria
- Table 4: AM-Peak-Hour Intersection Capacity Analysis
- Table 5: PM-Peak-Hour Intersection Capacity Analysis

Figures

- Figure 1: Study Area and Traffic Volumes
- Figure 2: Traffic Safety Problems
- Figure 3: Short-Term Improvements
- Figure 4: Long-Term Option 1: Roundabout
- Figure 5: Long-Term Option 2: Traffic Signal

Summary of MassDOT Crash Data (2006–09):

Humphrey Street, Burrill Street, and Monument Avenue

Statistics period	od	2006	2007	2008	2009	4-Year	Annual
Total number o	f crashes	7	7	6	4	24	6
Severity	Property Damage Only	5	4	2	3	14	4
	Personal Injury	2	2	0	1	5	1
	Not Reported/Unknown	0	1	4	0	5	2
Collision type	Angle	5	2	2	3	12	3
	Rear-end	1	1	1	0	3	1
	Sideswipe	0	2	2	0	4	1
	Single Vehicle	1	0	1	0	2	1
	Not Reported/Unknown	0	2	0	1	3	1
Involved pedes	trian(s)	1	0	1	0	2	1
Involved cyclist(s)		0	0	0	0	0	0
Occurred during	g weekday peak periods*	2	1	1	1 0 4		1
Dark/lighted co	nditions	1	0	0	1	2	1

* Peak periods are defined as 7:00–10:00 AM and 3:30–6:30 PM.

Summary of MassDOT Crash Data (2006–09):

Humphrey Street and Redington Street

Statistics	Period	2006	2007	2008	2009	4-Year	Annual
Total numb	er of crashes	6	4	3	3	16	4
Severity	Property Damage Only	1	2	3	3	9	2
	Personal Injury	4	2	0	0	6	2
	Not Reported	1	0	0	0	1	0
Collision	Angle	2	0	0	0	2	1
type	Rear-end	2	3	1	0	6	2
	Sideswipe	1	1	0	1	3	1
	Single Vehicle	1	0	1	0	2	1
	Not Reported/Unknown	0	0	1	2	3	0
Involved pe	destrian(s)	1	0	0	0	1	0
Involved cyclist(s)		1	0	0	1	2	0
Occurred d	uring weekday peak periods*	4	0	1	0 5		2
Dark/lighted	d conditions	0	1	1	0	2	1

* Peak periods are defined as 7:00–10:00 AM and 3:30–6:30 PM.

Intersection Level of Service Criteria

	Delay per Veh	icle (seconds)
Level of Service (LOS)	Unsignalized	Signalized
A	≤ 10.0	≤ 10.0
В	10.1 to 15.0	10.1 to 20.0
С	15.1 to 25.0	20.1 to 35.0
D	25.1 to 35.0	35.1 to 55.0
E	35.1 to 50.0	55.1 to 80.0
F	> 50	> 80

AM-Peak-Hour Intersection Capacity Analysis

	Move-		Existing	g		Short-Ter	m		Single-La	ne		Roundabo	out
Approach	ment	LOS	Delav ¹	Queue ²	LOS	Delav	Queue	LOS	Delav	Queue	LOS	Delav	Queue
Humphrev Street. Burr	ill Street. ar	nd Monun	nent Aven	ue									
Humphrev Street EB	T+L	В	13	49	Α	13	0	В	13	85	А	1	85
Humphrev Street WB	T+R	A	0	0	Α	0	0	F	104	527	D	29	238
Burrill Street EB	L+T+R	F	66	201	F	68	217	С	16	40	С	16	40
Monument Avenue SB	L+T+R	F	66	188	F	68	220	С	19	40	С	19	60
Intersection delav		В		16.8	Е		44	F		61.7	С		18.3
Humphrev Street and I	Redinaton S	street											
Humphrev Street EB	T+L	С	20	#456	С	20	#456	А	1	10	А	1	10
Humphrev Street WB	T+R	С	22	#726	С	22	#726	A	0	0	A	0	0
Redinaton Street SB	L+R	С	28	#111	С	28	#111	F	55	85	F	55	85
Intersection delav		С		22	С		22	А		5.6	А		5.6

	Move-	Traffic Signal with Traffic Signal					
Approach	ment	LOS	Delav ¹	Queue ²	LOS	Delav	Queue
Humphrev Street. Burr	ill Street. and	Monun	nent Aven	ue			
Humphrev Street EB	T+L	F	298	#626	D	37	#289
Humphrev Street WB	T+R	F	99	#705	F	204	#1015
Burrill Street EB	L+T+R	F	191	#289	E	59	162
Monument Avenue SB	L+T+R	F	165	#211	E	79	#271
Intersection delav		F		220.3	F		131.6
Humphrev Street and F	Redinaton Sti	reet (Si	analized)				
Humphrev Street EB	T+L	В	18	461	В	18	#461
Humphrev Street WB	T+R	В	13	#957	В	13	#957
Redinaton Street SB	L+R	D	48	#154	D	48	#154
Intersection delay		В		18.2	С		18.2

¹ Delay in seconds per vehicle.

² 95th percentile queue length in feet.

= 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity.

Note: Shading denotes street approaches with delay and queuing problems.

L = Left- turn movement

_

T = Through movement

R = Right-turn movement

Move-		Existing	g	:	Short-Teri	n	;	Single-La	ne		Roundabo	out
ment	LOS	Delav ¹	Queue ²	LOS	Delav	Queue	LOS	Delav	Queue	LOS	Delav	Queue
ll Street. an	d Monun	nent Aven	ue									
T+L	В	6	49	А	6	24	F	69	408	С	18	136
T+R	A	0	0	A	0	0	С	22	136	D	22	136
L+T+R	E	50	100	F	73	145	В	10	20	В	10	20
L+T+R	E	50	215	F	73	266	В	10	20	В	11	20
	В		12.7	F		125	E		43.2	С		17.6
edinaton S	treet											
T+L	В	13	#703	В	13	#703	А	9	17	А	9	17
T+R	А	6	#309	А	6	#309	А	0	0	А	0	0
L+R	С	25	#52	С	25	#25	D	31	30	D	31	30
	В		10.9	В		10.9	А		1.5	А		1.5
	ment T+L T+R L+T+R L+T+R edinaton St T+L T+R	ment LOS Il Street. and Monun T+L B T+R A L+T+R E L+T+R E B edinaton Street T+L B T+R A L+R C	mentLOSDelav1Il Street. and Monument AvenT+LB6T+RA0L+TRE50L+T+RE50BBedinaton StreetBT+RA6L+RC25	ment LOS Delav ¹ Queue ² Il Street. and Monument Avenue T+R B 6 49 T+R A 0 0 0 L+T+R E 50 100 L+T+R E 50 215 B 12.7 edinaton Street T+R A T+R A 6 #309 L+R C 25 #52	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	ment LOS Delav ¹ Queue ² LOS Delav Queue LOS Delav Queue <td>ment LOS Delav¹ Queue² LOS Delav Queue LOS Delav Queue</td>	ment LOS Delav ¹ Queue ² LOS Delav Queue LOS Delav Queue

TABLE 5
PM-Peak-Hour Intersection Capacity Analysis

	Move-	Tra	affic Signa	al with	1	Traffic Signal			
Approach	ment	LOS Delav ¹ Queue ²		LOS	Delav	Queue			
Humphrev Street. Burr	ill Street. an	d Monur	nent Aver	ue					
Humphrev Street EB	T+L	F	288	#1205	D	45	#785		
Humphrev Street WB	T+R	D	41	290	С	24	#304		
Burrill Street EB	L+T+R	F	170	#288	D	44	152		
Monument Avenue SB	L+T+R	F	137	#260	D	51	174		
Intersection delav		F		193.4	D		38.4		
Humphrev Street and F	Redinaton St	treet (Si	analized)						
Humphrev Street EB	T+L	С	22	#765	С	22	#765		
Humphrev Street WB	T+R	Α	7	331	А	7	331		
Redinaton Street SB	L+R	С	33	#65	С	34	#65		
Intersection delav		В		16	С		20.3		

¹ Delay in seconds per vehicle.

² 95th percentile queue length in feet.

= 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity.

Note: Shading denotes street approaches with delay and queuing problems.

L = Left- turn movement

T = Through movement

R = Right-turn movement



FIGURE 1 Study Area and Traffic Volumes



FIGURE 2 Traffic and Safety Problems



FIGURE 3 Short-Term Improvement



FIGURE 4 Long-Term Option 1: Convert to a Roundabout



FIGURE 5 Long-Term Option 2: Traffic Signal